Appl. No. 09/961,298

Art Unit: 2621

Attorney Docket No. 24788

Response to non-Final Office Action mailed

February 14, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 5 and 14 – 19 have been canceled and claim 37 has been amended. Accordingly,

claims 1 - 4, 5 - 13, and 20 - 46 are pending in the application and are presented for

reconsideration and further examination in view of the foregoing amendments and the following

remarks.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 5 and 14 - 19 were rejected under

35 U.S.C. §101 because the claims were asserted to be directed to a recording medium storing

nonfunctional descriptive material; and claim 1-4, 6-13, and 20-46 were allowed.

By this amendment claims 5 and 14 - 19 have been canceled without prejudice or

disclaimer to overcome the rejection and claim 37 has been amended for clarity. It is

respectfully submitted that the above amendments introduce no new matter within the meaning

of 35 U.S.C. §132.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 5 and 14 – 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to a recording

medium storing nonfunctional descriptive material.

Reconsideration and withdrawal are respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. § 101 reads: Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process,

machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof,

may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

41

Appl. No. 09/961,298 Art Unit: 2621

Attorney Docket No. 24788

Response to non-Final Office Action mailed

February 14, 2007

In response, claims 5 and 14 - 19 have been canceled rendering the rejection moot.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

The cancellation of these claims is made without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants

reserve the right to pursue these claims in one or more continuing applications.

Allowable subject matter

Claims 1-4, 6-13, and 20-46 were found to contain allowable subject matter over the

prior art. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowance.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for

allowance. If the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance, Applicants

respectfully request that the Examiner call the undersigned attorney if it is believed that it will

expedite the prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

THE NATH LAW GROUP

Date: May 14, 2007

THE NATH LAW GROUP

112 South West Street

Alexandria, VA 22314-2891

Tel: 703-548-6284

Fax: 703-683-8396

Gary M. Nath

Registration No. 26,965

Jerald L. Meyer

Registration No. 41,194

Teresa M. Arroyo

Registration No. 50,015

42